

## [Why We Should Oppose The Global Warmers](#)

**It's not just that global warming is fake. What matters is why this fakery is being promoted.**

Gary North  
July 3, 2009

Global warming is based 100% on junk science. The most vocal promoters are not interested in the details of physical science. They are interested in two things: political control over the general public and the establishment of international socialism.

### **Junk Science vs. Real Science**

For a detailed, footnoted, 12-page article, written by three scientists, two with Ph.D's from CalTech, [click here](#).


This paper was sent to tens of thousands of natural scientists in the United States.

[Over 31,000](#) scientists have put their reputations on the line and signed a politically incorrect petition opposing the [1997 Kyoto agreement or protocol](#). Here is a photocopy of a signed petition.

**Petition**

We urge the United States government to reject the global warming agreement that was written in Kyoto, Japan in December, 1997, and any other similar proposals. The proposed limits on greenhouse gases would harm the environment, hinder the advance of science and technology, and damage the health and welfare of mankind.

There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate. Moreover, there is substantial scientific evidence that increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide produce many beneficial effects upon the natural plant and animal environments of the Earth.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
Please sign here

Please send more petition cards for me to distribute.

My academic degree is B.S.  M.S.  Ph.D.  in the field of PHYSICS

Here is [a letter from a former president of the National Academy of Sciences](#). He asks recipients of the petition to sign it.

Back in the 1970's, the bugaboo was the coming ice age, as this [Time Magazine](#) article promoted. Not to be outdone, [Newsweek](#) got on board. The article warned: "Climatologists are pessimistic that political leaders will take any positive action to compensate for the climatic change, or even to allay its effects." Want more examples? [Click here](#).

It, too, was based on junk science. It, too, had the same solution: government control over the economy. The goal never changes: government management over the economy. The justification has changed. If the voters won't accept control over their lives on the basis of one brand of junk science, maybe they will accept another. As they used to say in the Nixon Administration: "Let's run this up the flagpole and see if anyone salutes."

## Socialism's Last Stand

The global warming movement is not about global warming. It is about the creation of an international political control arrangement by which bureaucrats who favor socialism can gain control over the international economy.

This strategy was stated boldly by economist Robert Heilbroner in 1990. Heilbroner, the [multi-millionaire socialist](#) and author of the best-selling history of economic thought, *The Worldly Philosophers*, wrote the manifesto for these bureaucrats. He did this in an article, "Reflections: After Communism," published by *The New Yorker* (Sept. 10, 1990).

In this article, he made an astounding admission. He said that Ludwig von Mises had been right in 1920 in his article, "[Economic Calculation in the Socialist Commonwealth](#)." Mises argued that without private ownership, central planners could not know what any resource is worth to consumers. With no capital market, the planners would be flying blind.

Heilbroner said that for 70 years, academic economists had either ignored this article or dismissed it without answering it. Then Heilbroner wrote these words: "Mises was right." Heilbroner was one of these people. There is no reference to Mises in *The Worldly Philosophers*.

This admission was the preliminary section of Heilbroner's manifesto. He was cutting off all hope by socialists that there is a theoretically plausible response to Mises. The free market economy will always outproduce a socialist economy. Get used to it, he said.

Then, in the second section, he called on his socialist peers to get behind the ecology movement. Here, he said, is the best political means for promoting central planning, despite its inefficiency. In the name of ecology, he said, socialists can get a hearing from politicians and voters.

The article is not online. [An abstract is](#). Here is the concluding thought of the abstract.

The direction in which things are headed is some version of capitalism, whatever its title. In Eastern Europe, the new system is referred to as Not Socialism. Socialism may not continue as an important force now that Communism is finished. But another way of looking at socialism is as the society that must emerge if humanity is to cope with the ecological burden that economic growth is placing on the environment. From this perspective, the long vista after Communism leads through capitalism into a still unexplored world that must [must?] be safely attained and settled before it can be named.

Heilbroner did not care that a worldwide government-run economic planning system would not be called socialism. He just wanted to see the system set up. Heilbroner's peers got the message. That was what Kyoto was all about.

## Conclusion

If you like poverty, inefficiency, and bureaucratic controls over the economy, and therefore control over your choices, the "climate change" movement is ideal.

If you want to subsidize China and India, neither of which will enforce the rules laid down by unelected international bureaucrats, this movement is for you.

If you want to [pay more for less energy](#), there is no better way than to pass the [cap and tax bill](#) which the House has passed. It will be sent to the U.S. Senate next week.

The rest of us should oppose it.